IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE V.GIRI

MONDAY, THE 10TH DECEMBER 2007 / 19TH AGRAHAYANA 1929

WP(C).No. 23227 of 2006(M)

PETITIONER:

K.KGOUTHAMAN, (IIIRD GR.OVERSEER),
P.W.D. SPECIAL BUILDIGN SECTION NO.III,
CALICUT AND NOW WORKING AT LOCAL
SELF GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENT,
NEDIYIRIPPU GRAMA PANCHAYAT,
MALAPPURAM.

BY ADV. SRI.P.SANTHOSH KUMAR (PANAMPALLI NAGAR)
SRI.LUIZ GODWIN D'COUTH

RESPONDENTS:
---

1. THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT, GOVERNMENT OF KERALA,
GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. THE CHIEF ENGINEER,
P.W.D. ADMINISTRATION, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

3. THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,
SPECIAL BUILDING SECTION,
CALICUT.

4. THE SECRETARY,
NEDIYIRIPPU GRAMA PANCHAYATH,
NADIYIRIPPU, MALAPPURAM.

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT.SUDHADEVI

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 10/12/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

V.GIRI, J.

W.P.(C).No.23227 of 2006 M

Dated this the 10th day of December, 2007.

JUDGMENT

After the writ petition was admitted, petitioner filed a fresh representation before the Government and produced the same as Ext.P6 along with I.A.No.16208/07. Though several contentions have been raised in the writ petition, at this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner confines his prayer for a direction to the first respondent to take appropriate action, in the light of Ext.P4. Petitioner claims that Ext.P4 is an order passed in relation to similarly situated persons.

After having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader, the writ petition is disposed of directing the 1st respondent to look into Ext.P6 and take a decision thereon, keeping in

mind the question as to whether similarly situated persons have been granted any benefit. Petitioner shall also be heard, if an adverse order is proposed to be passed against the interest of the petitioner.

Sd/-
(V.GIRI)
JUDGE

sk/

//true copy//

P.S. To Judge